Basic Concepts of Safety of Ultrasonic Diagnostic Equipment

Translated and editted by AFSUMB Safety Committee

This document was translated from the Japanese document which had been edited by JSUM. http://www.jsum.or.jp/committee/uesc/pdf/safty.pdf

November 27, 2014


Outline

- 1. Safety of medical equipments using ultrasound.
- 2. Biological effects of ultrasound
- 3. ALARA principle and index of safety; *TI* and *MI*
- 4. Guideline and regulations for safety use


1. Safety of Medical Equipments using Ultrasound

Energy level (eV) of medical equipments

The energy in molecular level of ultrasonic medical equipment is much lower than the others.



Biological effects of diagnostic and therapeutic ultrasound



Ultrasonic Exposure Time

2. Biologiccal Effects of Ultrasound

Biological Effects of Ultrasound

Ultrasound produces heating, pressure changes and mechanical disturbances in tissue.

Thermal effects

Diagnostic levels of ultrasound are capable of producing temperature rises that may be hazardous to sensitive organs and the embryo/fetus.

Non-thermal effects

Non-thermal effects are caused by cavitation phenomenon, in particular with the presence of the contrast agents.

Thermal Effects

Ultrasonic heat generation depends on the follwoing factors;

- ✓Frequency (or wavform)
- ✓Pulse repetition frequency
- ✓Ultrasonic beam
- ✓ Scanning mode
- ✓Thermal conduction and perfusion
- ✓Biological tissue property

Thermal effects by high intensity ultrasound



≻Tissue denature

Abnormal development of fetus (confirmed by animal experiments)

Non-thermal Effects

Acousic radiation pressure and mechanical operation by ultrasound causes cavitation. Cavitation causes various tissue damages.

✓ Cavitation

- High temperature and
- pressure in tissues
- Free radical generation
- Collapse of microbubble
- > Microstreaming

Non-thermal effects by high intensity ultrasound

Activation of chemical effects

>Tissue hemorrhage

≻Tissue rapture

3. ALARA Principle and Index of Safety; *TI* and *MI*

ALARA Principle

ALARA



As Low As Reasonably Achievable

The phrase refers to a principle of keeping ultrasonic exposure to the environment as low as can be achieved, based on technologic and economic considerations.



AIUM in 1993 issued "Although the possibility exists that such biological effects may be identified in the future, current data indicate that the benefits to the patient of the prudent use of diagnostic ultrasound outweigh the risks, if any, that may be present."

Thermal Index and Mechanical Index

The Thermal index (*TI*) is an on-screen guide to the user of the potential for tissue heating.

The Mechanical index (*MI*) is an on-screen guide of the likelihood and magnitude of nonthermal effects.

 Users should regularly check both indices while scanning and should adjust the machine controls to keep them as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA principle) without compromising the diagnostic value of the examination. Where low values cannot be achieved, examination times should kept as short as possible.

Check *TI* and *MI* for safe use, Just like a speedometer!



Definition of *TI*

Acoustic output *W* here is the same as *W* of IEC regulation.

$$TI = \frac{W_{\alpha}}{W_{\rm deg}}$$



: Total acoustic power [W]


W_{deg}: Acoustic power required to raise the tissue temperature by 1°C [W]

TI is a calculated estimate of temperature increase with tissue absorption of ultrasound

Three kinds of thermal indices are used for three different tissues.


- TIS Soft tissue thermal index
- *TIB* Bone thermal index
- TIC Cranial bone thermal index

Types of Modes





Position at which the highest temperature rise is assumed



Definition of *MI*

The possible occurrence of cavitation, either inertial or non-inertial, should be considered in assessing the safety of diagnostic ultrasound and of other forms of medical ultrasound.

It has been shown experimentally that acoustic cavitation can alter mammalian tissues.

Index related to non-thermal effect by cavitation

$$MI = \frac{p_{r.\alpha}(z_{sp})}{\sqrt{f_c}} \begin{cases} p_{r.\alpha}(z_{sp}) \\ f_c \\ f_c \end{cases}$$

Peak negative pressure during a pulse, which is derated by 0.3dB/cm/MHz [MPa]

Center frequency [MHz]

To decrease the values of *MI* and *TI*

- Common for MI and TI (common for modes)
 - Decrease acoustic output (decrease driving voltage)
 - Increase reception gain
- MI (for scanning mode)
 - Increase ultrasonic frequency
- TI (for non-scanning mdoe)
 - Decrease pulse repetition frequency (decrease flow velocity range)
 - Decrease exposure time

4. Guideline and regulations for safety use

WFUMB Guideline

- A diagnostic exposure that produces a maximum *in situ* temperature rise of no more than 1.5°C above normal physiological levels (37 °C) may be used clinically without reservation on thermal grounds.
- A diagnostic exposure that elevates embryonic and fetal in situ temperature above 41 °C (4 °C above normal temperature) for 5 min or more should be considered potentially hazardous.
- The risk of adverse effects of heating is increased with the **duration of exposure**.
- The possible occurrence of **cavitation**, either inertial or non-inertial, should be considered in assessing the safety of diagnostic ultrasound and of other forms of medical ultrasound.
- A risk– benefit analysis should be performed if anticipated acoustic pressure amplitude at the surface of postnatal lung tissue exceeds **1 MPa**.
- Safety evaluations should consider the characteristics of the site of ultrasound exposure. Thresholds for non-thermal biological effects are lowest in:
 - (a) tissues that naturally contain gas bodies, e.g., postnatal lung and intestine, and
 - (b) all tissues in the presence of introduced gas bodies, e.g., ultrasonic contrast agents.

Regulations IEC 60601-2-37, FDA,USA, Track1 & 3

- MI of up to 1.9 to be used for all applications except ophthalmic (maximum 0.23).
- Maximum intensity of ultrasound I_{spta,α}=720 mW/cm²

Ultrasound exposure during pregnancy

• The embryo/fetus in early pregnancy is known to be particularly sensitive. In view of this and the fact that there is very little information currently available regarding possible subtle biological effects of diagnostic levels of ultrasound on the developing human embryo or fetus, care should be taken to limit the exposure time and the Thermal and Mechanical Indices to the minimum commensurate with an acceptable clinical assessment.

Continued...

Temperature rises are likely to be greatest at bone surfaces and adjacent soft tissues. With increasing mineralization of fetal bones, the possibility of heating sensitive tissues such as brain and spinal cord increases. Extra vigilance is advised when scanning such critical fetal structures, at any stage in pregnancy. Based on scientific evidence of ultrasound-induced biological effects to date, there is no reason to withhold diagnostic scanning during pregnancy, provided it is medically indicated and is used prudently by fully trained operators. This includes routine scanning of pregnant women. However, Doppler ultrasound examinations should not be used routinely in the first trimester of pregnancy.

Ultrasound Contrast Agents

These usually take the form of stable gas filled microbubbles, which can potentially produce cavitation or microstreaming, the risk of which increases with *MI* value. Data from small animal models suggest that microvascular damage or rupture is possible. Caution should be considered for the use of UCA in tissues where damage to microvasculature could have serious clinical implications, such as in the brain, the eye, and the neonate. As in all diagnostic ultrasound procedures, the *MI* and *TI* values should be continually checked and kept as low as possible. It is possible to induce premature ventricular contractions in contrast enhanced echocardiography when using high *MI* and end–systolic triggering. Users should take appropriate precautions in these circumstances and avoid cardiac examinations in patients with recent acute coronary syndrome or clinically unstable ischemic heart disease. The use of contrast agents should be avoided 24 hours prior to extra-corporeal shock wave therapy.

Clinical Safety Statement for Diagnostic Ultrasound

- •Diagnostic ultrasound has been widely used in clinical medicine for many years with no proven deleterious effects. However, investigations into the possibility of subtle or transient effects are still at an early stage. Consequently, diagnostic ultrasound can only be considered safe if used prudently.
- •Biological effects (such as localized pulmonary bleeding) have been reported in mammalian systems at diagnostically relevant exposures but the clinical significance of such effects is not yet known. Ultrasound examinations should only be performed by competent personnel who are trained and updated in safety matters. It is also important that ultrasound devices are appropriately maintained.
- •The range of clinical applications is becoming wider, the number of patients undergoing ultrasound examinations is increasing and new techniques with higher acoustic output levels are being introduced. It is therefore essential to maintain vigilance to ensure the continued safe use of ultrasound.